Structured Ethics Appendices

PNAS January 2021 118(29)

Edward Asiedu

Dean Karlan

Monica Lambon-Quayefio

Christopher Udry

Why include a Structured Ethics Appendix?

- Improves discussion of papers
 - Common misperception: IRBs = ethics review boards
 - Omission → Assumptions
 - Norms in fields vary on inclusion in paper
- Clarifies and improves ethics norms

Ethics Appendix Sections

- 1. Policy Equipoise & Scarcity
- 2. Researcher roles with respect to implementation
- Potential harms to participants or nonparticipants from the interventions or policies
- 4. Potential harms from data collection or research protocols
- 5. Financial and reputational conflicts of interest
- 6. Intellectual freedom
- 7. Feedback to participants or communities
- 8. Foreseeable misuse of research results
- 9. Other ethics issues to discuss

1. Policy Equipoise & Scarcity

- Is there policy equipoise?
 - Net benefits from each arm
 - Relative to each arm AND relative to "best possible policy"
 - Reality:
 - Most do NOT satisfy this. Take any cash transfer program. Cash > No cash.
- If no equipoise, two conditions must be met:
 - Scarcity and Nobody predicted to be made worse off
 - Participants have equal moral or legal claims to the program
 - (related to scarcity)

Other Sections

- 2. Researcher roles with respect to implementation
- 3. Potential harms to participants or nonparticipants from the interventions or policies
- 4. Potential harms from data collection or research protocols
- 5. Financial and reputational conflicts of interest
- 6. Intellectual freedom
- 7. Feedback to participants or communities
- 8. Foreseeable misuse of research results
- 9. Other ethics issues to discuss